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## Minimal Absent Words (MAWs) [1/2]

- A string $w$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ is called a Minimal Absent Word (MAW) for a string $S$, if:

1. $w$ is a character from $\Sigma$ not occurring in $S$, or
2. $w=a u b\left(a, b \in \Sigma, u \in \Sigma^{*}\right)$ does not occur in $S$, but both $a u$ and $u b$ occur in $S$.

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\mathrm{b} \text { a } \mathrm{b} \\
& S=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{a} \text { a } \mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Minimal Absent Words (MAWs) [1/2]

- A string $w$ over an alphabet $\Sigma$ is called a Minimal Absent Word (MAW) for a string $S$, if:

1. $w$ is a character from $\Sigma$ not occurring in $S$, or
2. $w=a u b\left(a, b \in \Sigma, u \in \Sigma^{*}\right)$ does not occur in $S$, but both $a u$ and $u b$ occur in $S$.

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\mathrm{b} a \mathrm{a} \\
& S=\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{a} a \mathrm{~b}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Minimal Absent Words (MAWs) [2/2]

- MAW $(S)$ denotes the set of MAWs for a string $S$.

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{a} a \mathrm{~b} \quad \Sigma=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}\} \\
\operatorname{MAW}(S) & =\{\mathrm{a} a \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a} \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{bab}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The number $|\operatorname{MAW}(S)|$ of MAWs for a string $S$ of length $n$ over an alphabet of size $\sigma$ is $\mathrm{O}(\sigma n)$, and there is a matching lower bound [Crochemore et al. 1998].


## Symmetric Difference of MAWs of Two Strings

- A string similarity measure based on the symmetric difference $\operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{1}\right) \triangle \operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{2}\right)$ of MAWs for two input strings $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ has been proposed [Chairungsee \& Crochemore, 2012].
- Enumeration: $\operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{1}\right) \triangle \operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{2}\right)$ can be computed in $\underline{O}(\sigma n)$ time and space [Charalampopoulos et al., 2018].
- Counting: The cardinality $\left|\operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{1}\right) \triangle \operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|$ can be computed in $\underline{\mathrm{O}(n) \text { time for integer alphabets }}$
[Charalampopoulos, Crochemore, Pissis, 2018].


## Our Starting Point

Can we compute all elements of $\operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{1}\right) \triangle \operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{2}\right)$ in optimal $\mathrm{O}\left(n+\left|\operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{1}\right) \Delta \operatorname{MAW}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|\right)$ time?

## Our Problem

- We extend the notion of MAWs to $k \geq 2$ strings as follows:


## Problem 1

Input: Set $\mathbf{S}=\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right\}$ of $k$ strings of total length $n$ and a bit vector $\mathbf{B}$ of length $k$.
Output: $\operatorname{MAW}(\mathbf{B})=\left\{w \mid w\right.$ is a MAW for string $S_{i}$ iff $\left.\mathbf{B}[i]=1\right\}$.
When $k=2$


## Our Problem

When $k=2$

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{1}=\text { abaab } \\
S_{2}=\text { a acbba }
\end{array} \quad \Sigma=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}\}
$$

$\operatorname{MAW}(10)=\{a \mathrm{aba}, \mathrm{bab}, \mathrm{b} \cdot, \mathrm{c}\}$
$\operatorname{MAW}(11)=\{a a a, d\}$
$\operatorname{MAW}(01)=\{a b, b a a, b a c, b b b, b c, c a, c b a, c c\}$

## Our Contributions

Problem 1
Input: Set $\mathbf{S}=\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right\}$ of $k$ strings of total length $n$ and a bit vector $\mathbf{B}$ of length $k$.
Output: $\operatorname{MAW}(\mathbf{B})=\left\{w \mid w\right.$ is a MAW for string $S_{i}$ iff $\left.\mathbf{B}[i]=1\right\}$.

## Theorem 1

For $k=2$, we can solve Problem 1 in optimal $\mathrm{O}(n+|\operatorname{MAW}(\mathbf{B})|)$ time with $\mathrm{O}(n)$ working space.

Theorem 2
For general $k>2$, we can solve Problem 1 in $\mathrm{O}\left(n\left\lceil\frac{k}{\log n}\right\rceil+|\mathrm{MAW}(\mathbf{B})|\right)$ time with $\mathrm{O}(n)$ working space.

## Computing MAWs with DAWG [1/2]

- Previous algorithms [Crochemore et al. 1998, Fujishige et al. 2016] for computing MAWs for a single string $S$ use DAWG (Directed Acyclic Word Graph) for $S$, which is an $\mathrm{O}(n)$-size automaton representing all substrings of $S$.

$$
\text { E.g. } S=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{babcb}
$$



Substrings are represented by the same node of DAWG $(S)$ iff they have the same ending position(s) in $S$.

## Computing MAWs with DAWG [1/2]

- Previous algorithms [Crochemore et al. 1998, Fujishige et al. 2016] for computing MAWs for a single string $S$ use DAWG (Directed Acyclic Word Graph) for $S$, which is an $\mathrm{O}(n)$-size automaton representing all substrings of $S$.


Substrings are represented by the same node of DAWG $(S)$ iff they have the same ending position(s) in $S$.
---- suffix link

## Computing MAWs with DAWG [1/2]

- If the edges of DAWG are sorted, then one can compute $\operatorname{MAW}(S)$ in $\mathrm{O}(n+|\operatorname{MAW}(S)|)$ time [Fujishige et al. 2016].

DAWG for string $S$


- Consider each pair of nodes $a u$ and $u$ which are connected by a suffix link, where $a$ is a character and $u$ is a string.
- Compare the labels of the out-edges of nodes $a u$ and $u$ in sorted order.
- For $b$ : $a u$ has no out-edge with $b$, but $u$ has an out-edge with $b$.
$\rightarrow a u b$ is a MAW for the input string $S$.
- For $c$ : both $a u$ and $u$ have out-edges with $c$ $\rightarrow$ auc is not a MAW for the input string $S$, but this cost of character comparisons can be charged to this out-edge of $a u$ labeled $c$.


## Building DAWG for Multiple Strings

- The best known algorithm for building the DAWG for multiple strings takes $\mathrm{O}(n \log \sigma)$ time [Blumer et al. 1985].


## Lemma 1

The DAWG for a set $\mathbf{S}=\left\{S_{1} \#_{1}, \ldots, S_{k} \#_{k}\right\}$ of $k$ strings of total length $n$ can be built in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time for integer alphabets.

1. We build the DAWG for the concatenated string $T=S_{1} \#_{1} \cdots S_{k} \#_{k}$ in O( $n$ ) time by the DAWG-construction algorithm of Fujishige et al. (2016) for a single string.
2. We convert the DAWG for $T$ to the DAWG for $\mathbf{S}$ in $\mathrm{O}(n)$ time.

## Building DAWG for Multiple Strings [2/2]

$$
T=\mathbf{a b c}_{1} \mathbf{b b a c}_{2} \mathbf{a b c a}_{3}
$$



## Building DAWG for Multiple Strings [2/2]

$$
T=\mathbf{a b c} \#_{1} \mathbf{b b a c}_{2} \mathrm{abca}_{3}
$$



## Building DAWG for Multiple Strings [2/2]

$T=$ abc\# $_{1}$ bbac\# $_{2}$ abca $_{3}$


## Building DAWG for Multiple Strings [2/2]

$T=$ abc\# $_{1}$ bbac\# $_{2}$ abca $_{3}$


We remove the paths that lead to $\#_{2}$ but contain $\#_{1}$ inside. It can be done by deleting this chain of unary nodes from the "spine".

## Building DAWG for Multiple Strings [2/2]

$T=$ abc\# $_{1}$ bbac\# $_{2}$ abca $_{3}$



DAWG for $\left\{\mathrm{abc} \#_{1}, \mathrm{bbac}_{2}\right.$, abca $\left._{3}\right\}$


## Computing MAWs for $k=2$



DAWG for two strings $S_{1} \#_{1}$ and $S_{2} \#_{2}$


| All possible combinations of node labels |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1}$ | $\#_{2}$ |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | B |  |  |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 | - | - |
| \# ${ }_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \#_{1} \\ \#_{1} \#_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | - |
| \# 2 | $\begin{gathered} \#_{2} \\ \#_{1} \#_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | - | 00 00 |
| absent | $\begin{gathered} \#_{1} \\ \#_{2} \\ \#_{1} \#_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 01 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 10 00 10 | 00 01 01 |

## Case where $\mathbf{B}=10$



DAWG for two strings $S_{1} \#_{1}$ and $S_{2} \#_{2}$


| Node $a u$ is la $a u$ is a substr and so on. <br> All possible combinations of node labels |  | $a u$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1}$ | $\#_{2}$ |
| aub | $u b$ | B |  |  |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 | - | - |
| $\# 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \#_{1} \\ \#_{1} \#_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| \# | \# 2 | 00 | - | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 | - | 00 |
| absent | \# | 10 | 10 | 00 |
|  | \# | 01 | 00 | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 | 10 | 01 |

## Case where B=10 and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$



## Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



| Out-edge of $a u$ | $b$ | $c$ |  | $e$ | $f$ |  | $h$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Out-edge of $u$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ | $i$ | $j$ |

Sorted List of out-edges of nodes $a u$ and $u$.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$


Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  |  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |  |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |  |
| $\#_{1}$ | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |  |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |  |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |  |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |  |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |  |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |  |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |  |



Compare the out-edge characters of $a u$ and $u$ by following these links.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$


Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node $a u$ by following the link.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Both $a u$ and $u$ have out-edge with $c$ with the condition for orange case
$\rightarrow a u c$ is a MAW to output.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  |  | $a u$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node $a u$ by following the link.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$


Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node $u$ by following the link.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  |  | $a u$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node $a u$ by following the link.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |


$\left.\begin{array}{l|llllllll} & b & c & & e & f & & h & \\ \text { Out-edge of } a u & b & c & & & \\ \hline \text { Out-edge of } u & b & c & d & e & f & g & h & i\end{array}\right]$

The out-edge of $a u$ with $f$ does not meet the condition for orange case
$\rightarrow$ auf is not a MAW to output.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node $a u$ by following the link.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$



Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | absent | $\#_{2}$ |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  |  | 11 |



The out-edge of $a u$ with $h$ does not meet the condition for orange case
$\rightarrow$ auh is not a MAW to output.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node au by following the link.

Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  |  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |  |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |  |
| $\#_{1}$ | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |  |
|  | 01 |  |  |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |  |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |  |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |  |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |  |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |  |



Algorithm for $\mathbf{B}=10$ and $a u$ is labeled $\#_{1} \#_{2}$

|  | $a u$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ |  |
| $a u b$ | $u b$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 00 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{2}$ | 00 |
| $\#_{2}$ | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 10 |
|  | $\#_{1}$ | 10 |
| absent | $\#_{2}$ | 01 |
|  | $\#_{1} \#_{2}$ | 11 |



Shift the pointer for node $u$ by following the link.

## Time Analysis



Charged to the out-edges of node $a u \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(n)$ in total
Charged to output MAWs $\rightarrow \mathrm{O}(|\operatorname{MAW}(01)|)$ in total
Charged to output MAWs $\rightarrow \mathrm{O}(|\operatorname{MAW}(01)|)$ in total
Skipped comparisons $\rightarrow$ Free

## Theorem 1

For $k=2$, we can solve Problem 1 in optimal $\mathrm{O}(n+|\operatorname{MAW}(\mathbf{B})|)$ time with $\mathrm{O}(n)$ working space.

## Final Remarks

- Beal et al. (2003) considered a different version of MAWs for a set $\mathbf{S}=\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}\right\}$ of $k$ strings, where aub is a MAW for $\mathbf{S}$ iff $a u b$ does not occur in $\mathbf{S}$, and both $a u$ and $u b$ occur in $\mathbf{S}$. They presented an $\mathrm{O}(\sigma n)$-time algorithm.
- This version of MAWs can be computed in $\mathrm{O}(n+$ output $\mid)$ time independently of $k$, by running our algorithm without skip links.
- Beal \& Crochemore (2023) considered T-specific strings w.r.t. S, for string sets $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ : a string $w$ is a $\mathbf{T}$-specific string w.r.t. $\mathbf{S}$ iff $w$ is a substring of $\mathbf{T}$ and $w$ is a MAW for $\mathbf{S}$.
They presented an $\mathrm{O}(\sigma n)$-time algorithm.
- The T-specific strings w.r.t. $\mathbf{S}$ can be computed in $\mathrm{O}(n+\mid$ output $\mid)$ time by slightly modifying our algorithm for $k=2$.

